1	JOHN G. WALSH (MA Bar No. 555649)	
2	63 Atlantic Avenue, 3rd Flr.	
3	Boston, MA 02110 johngwalshlaw@gmail.com	
4	Telephone No.: (617) 851-2429	
5	Telephone 1 to (617) 651 2425	
6	Counsel for Defendant CHRISTOPHER RANIERI	
7	Appearing Pro Hac Vice	
•		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11	SANTRANCISCO DI VISION	
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 3:17-cr-00533-7 EMC
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case 110. 3.17-CI-00333-7 EMC
14	Plaintiff,	CHRISTOPHER RANIERI'S MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE AN OVERSIZED
15	v.	REPLY TO THE UNITED STATES'
16	CHRISTOPHER RANIERI et al.,	OPPOSITION TO HIS MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL UNDER
17		F.R.C.P. 29 AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
18	Defendants.	Dept.: Hon. Edward M. Chen
19		Depa. Hon. Edward W. Chen
20		
21		
	TO: THIS HONORABLE COURT; COUCUNSEL FOR THE DEFENSE:	UNSEL FOR THE GOVERNMENT; AND
22	COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENSE.	
23	CHRISTOPHER RANIERI, hereby moves this Court, pursuant to Criminal Local	
24	Rule 47-2 and Civil Local Rule 7-4(b), for an order permitting him to file an oversized <i>Reply</i> to	
25		
26	the Government's <i>Opposition</i> to his <i>Motion for Judgment of Acquittal Under F.R.C.P.</i> 29. His	
27	reply is 33 pages in length including tables which exceeds the permissible limit of 25 pages set	
28		
	CHRISTOPHER RANIERI'S MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE AN OVERSIZED REPLY TO THE UNITED STATES' OPPOSITION TO HIS MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL UNDER	
	THE CHILD STATES OF SOMEON TO HIS MOTION FOR SUDDIMENT OF ACQUITIAL UNDER	

F.R.C.P. 29 AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

forth in Local Civil Rule 7-4(b). It replies to the Government's *Opposition* which also is 33 pages in length including a table of contents.

Several of the arguments made in Mr. Ranieri's *Reply* require detailed discussions in order to reply to the Government's *Opposition*, and to provide an adequate record of the arguments to be made. Every effort was made to reply as concisely as possible to that *Opposition*. However, given the complexity of the evidence presented, the number of witnesses and exhibits, and the length of the trial and the points made in that *Opposition*, his counsel is unable to adequately set forth the factual and legal bases for his arguments within the 25-page limit of Civil Local Rule 7-4(b) as incorporated into Criminal Local Rule 47-2(b).

For the foregoing reasons, Mr. Ranieri suggests there is ample good cause for the Court to grant this motion, and he respectfully requests that the Court grant it.

This Motion is based on the above statement of the Motion and on the pertinent Local Rules.

December 6, 2023 Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ John G. Walsh

John G. Walsh Attorney for Defendant, CHRISTOPHER RANIERI

CHRISTOPHER RANIERI'S MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE AN OVERSIZED REPLY TO THE UNITED STATES' OPPOSITION TO HIS MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL UNDER F.R.C.P. 29 AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

[PROPOSED] ORDER FOR GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, on the application of counsel for Christopher Ranieri, IT IS ORDERED that Mr. Ranieri shall be permitted to file an oversized Reply to the Government's Opposition to his Motion for Judgment of Acquittal that exceeds the 25-page limit specified by Civil Local Rule 7-4(b). IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: _____ HON. EDWARD M. CHEN UNITED STATES SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

CHRISTOPHER RANIERI'S MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO FILE AN OVERSIZED REPLY TO THE UNITED STATES' OPPOSITION TO HIS MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL UNDER F.R.C.P. 29 AND [PROPOSED] ORDER